| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/826,146 | LEWIS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | William H. Beisner | 1744 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) William H. Beisner. | (3) | |
| (2) Gail E. Poulos. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 18 August 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: All of record. | | |
| Claims discussed: All of record. | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: All of record. | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Poulos was informed that the after final response dated 23 July 2004 would be entered and was persuasive to overcome all of the 35 USC 112 rejections and prior art rejections of record. A notice of allowability would be forthcoming. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte | en summary of the substance |
| | | |
| Vall BIL | | |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |